



AMENDED GUIDELINES ON RANKING & RATING DELIVERY UNITS AND INDIVIDUALS AS BASIS FOR GRANTING THE PERFORMANCE-BASED BONUS (PBB)

1.0 BACKGROUND

Pursuant to Executive Order (EO) No. 80, s.2012 (Directing the Adoption of a Performance-Based Incentive System for Government Employees) and Memorandum Circular (MC) 2012-01 (Guidelines on the Cascading of Department Performance Targets in line with EO. No. 80) issued by the Administrative Order (AO) 25 Inter-Agency Task Force (IATF), a Performance Based Incentive System (PBIS) consisting of the Productivity Enhancement Incentive (PEI) and the Performance-Based Bonus (PBB) shall be adopted in the National Government beginning Fiscal Year (FY) 2012.

The PBB shall be characterized by a system of ranking Delivery Units and personnel within a Department/Agency according to their contribution to their respective Department/Agency performance. Such performance shall be measured by verifiable and sustainable indicators based on their Major Final Outputs (MFOs), commitments to the President supportive of the priorities under EO 43, s. 2011; and good governance conditions specified in MC 2012-02, MC 2012-02-A, MC 2013-01, MC 2014-1, MC 2014-2, MC 2015-1, MC 2016-1 and MC 2016-2 issued by the AO 25 IATF on October 16, 2012, October 31, 2012, August 2, 2013, April 21, 2014, October 8, 2014, August 12, 2015, May 12, 2016 and October 12, 2016 respectively.

Per IATF MC 2016-01, to qualify for PBB, the Agency needs to meet all the following criteria and conditions:

- 1.1 Achieved 100% of each Major Final Outputs (MFO), Operations (OPS), Support to Operations (STO) and General Administrative Support Services (GASS) targets for the Fiscal Year;

 For GOCCs under the coverage of DBM without budgetary support, the targets reflected under DBM Form No. 700 in their Corporate Operating Budgets shall be used as basis in assessing their performance and determining eligibility for the Performance-Based Bonus
- 1.2 Satisfied 100% of three (3) good governance conditions under Section 6.0 of IATF MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR NO. 2013-01 based on the performance drivers of the Results-Based Performance Management System (RBPMS):
 - a. Maintain/Update the Agency Transparency Seal as mandated in Section 93 of the General Appropriations Act of 2013 (or RA 10352)

Agency Transparency Seal page should be accessible by clicking on the transparency Seal logo on the Home page. Agency Transparency Seal should contain the following documents:

- a.1 Annual Reports
- a.2 DBM-Approved Budget and Targets for PBB Fiscal Year
- a.3. Annual procurement plan (APP)
- a.4. Agency Transparency Seal should include the posting of the agency's i) system of ranking delivery units and individuals; and ii) Quality Management Certificate from an international certifying body or the agency Operations Manual whichever is applicable as indicated in Section 4.2.a of MC 2015-01.
- a.5. The system of ranking delivery units and individuals should be posted in the agency transparency seal and disseminated to employees not later than October 30 of the PBB Fiscal Year.
- b. Maintain/Update the posting of all Invitations to Bid and awarded contracts in the Philippine Government Electronic Procurement System (Philippine Government Electronic Procurement Ele
- c. Update the department's/agency's Citizen's Charter or its equivalent, Service Charter, to reflect at least 3 improvements in service delivery as mandated by RA 9485 and post the Citizen's Charter or its equivalent in the department/agency website.
- 1.3 Use of the Strategic Performance Management System (SPMS) as basis for rating performance of First and Second level employees;
- 1.4 Budget Utilization Rate (BUR), which shall consist of:
 - a. Obligations BUR computed as obligations against all allotments issued for PBB Fiscal Year.
- b. Disbursement BUR which is measured by the ratio of total disbursement (cash and non-cash, excluding personnel services) to total obligations for maintenance and other operating expenses (MOOE) and capital outlays (CO) in PBB Fiscal Year.
- 1.5 Compliance to Public Financial Management (PFM) reporting requirements of the Commission on Audit (COA) and Department of Budget and Management (DBM);
- 1.6 Adoption and use of the Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicators (APCPI) System;
- 1.7Compliance with Section 3e of Administrative Order (AO) No. 46 s.2015 which requires agencies to submit their respective Annual Procurement Plan (APP);
 - a. Agency Transparency Seal should include the posting of the following:
 - a.1) Agency's system of ranking delivery units; the system of ranking delivery units should be posted in the agency transparency seal and disseminated to employees.
 - a.2) Quality Management Certificate from an international certifying body or the agency Operations Manual whichever is applicable

Failure to meet any of the above conditions shall render the Agency ineligible for the PBB.

2.0 PURPOSE

These Guidelines aims to provide the mechanics for:

- 2.1 Ranking the Delivery Units of SBMA based on performance targets;
- 2.2 Distributing the PBB to qualified Delivery Units, and personnel of the Agency.

3.0 COVERAGE

- 3.1. All Delivery Units, Departments and Offices of SBMA.
- 3.2. SBMA officials and employees holding regular positions, government contractual, co-terminus, and casual positions having an employer-employee relationship with the SBMA. However, they should have rendered at least three (3) months of service within the PBB Fiscal Year. (See Section 8.10 for pro-rata basis computation)

4.0 DELIVERY UNITS TO BE RANKED FOR PBB

4.1 As per Sec 3.1 of IATF MC 2016-02 ISSUED OCTOBER 12, 2016 "Guideline on the Identification and Determination of Delivery Units Relative to the Grant of the Performance Based Bonus for Fiscal Year (FY) 2016". A delivery Unit shall be the primary subdivision an agency or GOCC performing substantive line functions, technical services administrative support, as reflected in the agency's organization structure and/or functional chart. The identification of a delivery unit will depend on the type of government entity, with due consideration to its mandate, organizational level, and scope of operations, as follows:

	TYPE OF	GOVERNMENT	ENTITY	
Department or	Age	ncy	State	
Department- Level Intermediate E Level		Bureau Level	Universities and Colleges	GOCCs
	DE	LIVERY UNITS	í .	
Offices	Offices		Offices	
Bureaus	Bureaus	Divisions	Services	Offices
Services	Services	Field Units, if	Campuses	Departments
Regional Offices,	Regional /Field	any	Colleges	
if any	Units, if any	73.		

4.2 As per Sec 3.1 of IATF MC 2016-02 ISSUED OCTOBER 12, 2016, To facilitate the ranking process, agencies may cluster the delivery units based on similarities of functions and responsibilities, provided that the overall ranking distribution for Best and Better Delivery Units shall not exceed 10% and 25%, respectively, of the total number of delivery units in the department/agency.

Accordingly, the Delivery Units that shall be clustered and ranked for the PBB under Operations (OPS), Support to Operations (STO) and General Administrative and Support Services (GASS) are the following:

A. Departments/Offices under Operations (OPS)

- 1 Office of the Senior Deputy Administrator for Business & Investment
- 2 Office of the Deputy Administrator for Business & Investment
- 3 General Business and Investment Department
- 4 Business and Investment Department for Manufacturing and Maritime
- 5 Business and Investment Department for Leisure
- 6 Business and Investment Department for ICT
- 7 Business and Investment Department for Logistics (Promo and Marketing Dept.)
- 8 Land and Asset Development Department
- 9 Office of the Senior Deputy Administrator for Port Operations
- 10 Office of the Deputy Administrator for Port Operations
- 11 Airport Department
- 12 Seaport Department
- 13 Trade Facilitation and Compliance Department (TFCD)
- 14 Office of the Senior Deputy Administrator for Regulatory Group(- Registry Office & VISA)
- 15 Building Permit and Safety Department
- 16 Ecology Center
- 17 Motor Vehicle Registration Office
- 18 Tourism Department

B. Departments/Offices under Support to Operations(STO)

- 1 Office of the Chairman
- 2 Office of the Administrator
- 3 Board Secretariat

- 4 Intelligence Office
- 5 Internal Audit Service
- 6 Planning and Development Office
- 7 Public Relations Office
- 8 Media Production Department
- 9 Office of the Deputy Administrator for PWTSG
- 10 Engineering Services Department
- 11 Maintenance and Transportation Department
- 12 Telecommunications Department
- 13 Utilities Department
- 14 Office of the Deputy Administrator for Legal Affairs
- 15 Legal Department
- 16 Labor Department
- 17 Office of the Deputy Administrator for Health and Safety
- 18 Fire Department
- 19 Law Enforcement Department
- 20 Public Health & Safety Department

C. Departments/Offices under General Administrative Support Services (GASS)

- 1 Office of the Senior Deputy Administrator for Support Services
- 2 Office of the Deputy Administrator for Administration
- 3 Total Quality Management Office
- 4 Human Resource Management Department
- 5 Procurement and Property Management Department
- 6 Office Services Department
- 7 Management Information System Office
- 8 Office of the Deputy Administrator for Finance
- 9 Accounting Department
- 10 Financial Planning and Budget Department
- 11 Treasury Department

	SBMA Total Departments/Offices		
DISTRIBUTION	% Distribution of Department	% Distribution of Department (Rounded)	
TOP 10%	4.9	5	
NEXT 25%	12.25	12	
NEXT 65%	31.85	32	
TOTAL	49	49	

SUMMARY						
DISTRIBUTION	(OPS) Op		Department un Operatio	der Support to ons(STO)	C. Department under General Administrative Support Services (GASS)	
DISTRIBUTION	% Distribution of Department	% Distribution of Department (Rounded)	% Distribution of Department	% Distribution of Department (Rounded)	% Distribution of Department	% Distribution of Department (Rounded)
TOP 10%	1.8	2	2	2	1.1	1
NEXT 25%	4.5	4	5	5	2.75	3
NEXT 65%	11.7	12	13	13	7.15	7
TOTAL		18		20		11

5.0 The Performance Management Group(PMG)

The PMG created under SBMA Board Resolution No. 13-04-4748 last April 19, 2013, shall directly oversee the performance of the Delivery Units. It shall assist the Head of the Agency in:

- a) Sets consultation meeting of all Heads of Offices for the purpose of discussing the targets set in the department/office performance commitment and rating form;
- b) Ensures that Office/Department targets and measures, as well as the budget are aligned with those of the agency and that work distribution of Offices/Departments/Units is rationalized;
- c) Recommends approval of the office/department/delivery unit commitment and rating to the Head of Agency;
 - d) Acts as appeals body and final arbiter for performance management issues of the agency;
- e) Identifies potential top performers and provide inputs to the PRAISE Committee for grant of awards and incentives; and
- f) Adopts its own internal rules, procedures and strategies in carrying out the above responsibilities including schedule of meetings and deliberations.
- g) Assess the performance of the different Delivery Units and assist in ranking them according to performance criteria;
 - h) Adopts and undertake a communications strategy on matters pertaining to the PBB;
- i) Publishes the performance targets and its progress in the SBMA website for the employees to access;
- j) Sets up of a Help Desk to respond to queries and comments on the SBMA targets and accomplishments;
- k) Sets up an appeals mechanism which can respond to and redress PBB issues and concerns brought forward by a SBMA official or employee;
 - I) Ensures adherence to the criteria and guidelines of the PBB;

- m) Conducts spot checking or audit on the Delivery Unit's accomplishment reports and submittals;
- n) Develops and implements an internal communications strategy on PBIS for the Feedback and Change Management <u>as stated in Section 13.0 of IATF MC 2016-01</u>. The PMG shall make sure that the following activities are implemented:
 - 1. Engage all employees in understanding the PBIS, the performance targets of their respective delivery unit, as well as the services and outputs that they will need to deliver in order to meet the set targets;
 - 2. Disseminate the performance targets and accomplishments of the agency to all employees through the intranet and other means, as well as the publication to the agency website for public information.
 - 3. Adopt and undertake a communications strategy to disseminate the Delivery Unit performance targets and accomplishments to their employees through the intranet and other means:
 - 4. Set up a Help Desk to respond to queries and comments on the targets and accomplishments of the agency.
 - 5. Set up a complaints mechanism to respond to the PBIS-related issues and concerns raised by officials and employees.

5.1 The PMG shall be composed of the following:

Chairperson : Deputy Administrator for Administration
Vice-Chairperson : Deputy Administrator for Business Group

Members : Deputy Administrator for Finance*

Manager, Human Resource Management Department

Manager, Planning and Development Office

Manager, Airport Department
Manager, Legal Department
Manager, Tourism Department
Head, Total Quality Management

Head, Trade Facilitation and Compliance Department Division Chief, Manpower Development Division (HRMD)

President of the Accredited Employee Association

6.0 DETERMINING ELIGIBILITY OF DELIVERY UNITS FOR PBB

6.1 To be eligible to the PBB, Delivery Units must have achieved by December 29 of the Fiscal Year 100% of each of their performance targets for the fiscal year laid in the PDO-DUPCR 03 form (attached herewith as Annex 3) as a template for reporting. The said form also includes additional criteria set forth by the PMG for forced ranking (refer to item 7.2). These forms must be submitted to the PMG secretariat within the required date, and shall serve as the primary basis for measuring performance. All Delivery Units must also comply with the applicable good governance conditions (please refer to item 1.2) as an eligibility requirement for the PBB. A **mandatory 5% increase** in

^{*} In the absence of the Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson the Deputy Administrator for Finance shall act as Vice-Chairperson.

Performance Indicator Target from previous year if Performance Measure is quantity based shall be applied.

All Departments/Offices must have achieved by December 29 of the Fiscal Year 100% of each of their performance targets for the fiscal year laid in the PDO-DPCR 02 & PDO-OPCR 06 form (attached herewith as Annex 2 & Annex 6) as a template for reporting. Including the set target on Budget Utilization Rate (BUR) for Obligation and Disbursement.

6.2 Delivery Units/Departments/Offices that do not meet the criteria and conditions set forth above shall not be eligible for the PBB.

7.0 RATING AND RANKING OF PERFORMANCE OF DELIVERY UNITS/DEPARTMENTS

7.1 Delivery Units eligible for the PBB in each cluster shall be subjected to **forced ranking** according to the extent/degree of their contribution in the achievement of the performance targets of SBMA and the Additional Criteria set forth by the PMG. The qualified Delivery Units shall be ranked accordingly:

<u>Ranking</u>	Performance Category
Top 10%	Best Delivery Unit
Next 25%	Better Delivery Unit
Next 65%	Good Delivery Unit

- 7.2 The performance indicators determined for PBB and the additional criteria developed to supplement them shall be the main parameters to be used by the PMG in ranking the different Delivery Units.
- 7.3 The SBMA PMG shall recommend to the Head of the Agency, for his/her final decision, which Delivery Units will be the Good, Better, or Best according to the additional criteria pursuant to the following guidelines:

TABLE 1: PBB FORCED RANKING POINT SYSTEM FOR SBMA DELIVERY UNITS/DEPTS

	PBB FORCED RANKING POINT SYSTEM FOR SBMA DELIVERY UNITS/DEPTS					
A.	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR(PI)/MAJOR FINAL					
	OUTPUT(MFO)DELIVERY UNIT'S TOTAL PI	90	Points			
В.	1. ATTENDANCE AT WORK (5pts.)					
	2. SBMA ACTIVITIES (2pts.)					
	3. FLAG RAISING CEREMONY (2pts.)	10	Points			
	4. FLAG LOWERING CEREMONY DELIVERY(1pt.)					
	UNIT'S/DEPT TOTAL PERSONNEL					
	TOTAL	100	Points			

A. Performance Indicator(PIs)/Major Final Output(MFO)- those who achieved their PIs targets shall receive the corresponding points depending on the percentage of achievement:

TABLE 2: Delivery Unit(DU)/Dept. Total PIs/MFO PBB Equivalent Points

% of DU/Departme Total PIs/MF Equivalent Poi Received	0	Equi	PBB valent Points	% of DU/Departme Total Pls/MFO Equivalent Points Received		Equi	PBB valent Points
100%	=	70	Points	112 - 113%	=	81	points
101%	=	71	Points	114 - 115%	=	82	Points
102%	=	72	Points	116 - 117%	=	83	Points
103%	=	73	Points	118 - 119%	=	84	Points
104%	=	74	Points	120 - 121%	=	85	Points
105%	=	75	Points	122 - 123%	=	86	Points
106%	=	76	points	124 - 125%	=	87	Points
107%	=	77	points	126 - 127%	=	88	Points
108%	=	78	points	128 - 129%	=	89	Points
109%	=	79	points	Over 130%	=	90	Points
110 - 111%	=	80	points				

Note: Equivalent points of Delivery Unit's Pls shall be derived from the Delivery Unit's total percentage points of accomplished Pls/MFOs over target of the current year.

(Delivery Unit's total percentage points are derived from "total sum of the weighted average score of core functions, strategic priority and support functions")

A.1. The Performance Indicator(PI)/Major Final Output (MFO) Weight: The PI/MFO weight were formulated by the PMG based on each importance as illustrated on the Table 3. The PI/MFO weight correspond based on the declared PI/MFO by the Delivery Units/Departments & Individuals.

TABLE 3: Major Final Output Weight

Delivery U	Delivery Units/Departments & Individuals Performance Indicator/Major Final Output Weight:						
With Core Functions, Strategic Priority & Support Function		With Core Functions & Strategic Priority Only		Support Functions		Core Funct	ions Only
a. Core Functions	65%	a. Core Functions	70%	a. Core Functions	95%	a. Core Functions	100%
b. Strategic Priority	30%	b. Strategic	30%	c. Support	5%		
c. Support Functions	5%	Priority	30%	Functions	3%		
Total	100%	Total	100%	Total	100%	Total	100%

TABLE 3.1 Weight computation sample for PI/MFO with Core Functions, Strategic Priority & Support Function

Performance Indicator/Major Final Output	FY 2016 TARGET VS. ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT*	EQUIVALENT PERCENTAGE POINTS
a. Core Functions(CF)		
Performance Indicator No 1	176%	180.0000%
Performance Indicator No 2	172%	175.0000%
Performance Indicator No 3	166%	170.0000%
Performance Indicator No 4	161%	165.0000%
Performance Indicator No 5	155%	160.0000%
Performance Indicator No 6	151%	155.0000%
Performance Indicator No 7	145%	150.0000%
Performance Indicator No 8	143%	145.0000%
Performance Indicator No 9	135%	140.0000%
Performance Indicator No 10	131%	135.0000%
Total Average CF		157.5000%
Total Average CF x 65% Weight=CF65%		102.3750%
b. Strategic Priority(SP)		
Performance Indicator No 1	145%	150.0000%
Performance Indicator No 2	143%	145.0000%
Total Average SP		147.5000%
Total SP x 30% Weight=SP30%		44.2500%
c. Support Functions (SF)		
Performance Indicator No 1	1.6125	165.0000%
Performance Indicator No 2	1.5525	160.0000%
Total Average SF		162.5000%
Total SF x 5% Weight=SF5%		8.1250%
Total PI/MFO (SUM of CF65% +, SP30%,+ SF5%)		154.7500%
PBB EQUIVALENT POINTS>>>		90.00 pts.

TABLE: 3.2 Weight computation sample for PI/MFO with Core Functions & Strategic Priority.

Performance Indicator/Major Final Output Weight: With No Support Functions	FY 2016 TARGET VS. ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT*	EQUIVALENT PERCENTAGE POINTS
a. Core Functions(CF): Total Average CF x 70% Weight=CF70%		
Performance Indicator No 1	176%	180.0000%
Performance Indicator No 2	172%	175.0000%
Performance Indicator No 3	166%	170.0000%
Performance Indicator No 4	161%	165.0000%
Performance Indicator No 5	155%	160.0000%
Performance Indicator No 6	151%	155.0000%
Performance Indicator No 7	145%	150.0000%

Performance Indicator No 8	143%	145.0000%
Performance Indicator No 9	135%	140.0000%
Performance Indicator No 10	131%	135.0000%
Total Average CF		157.5000%
Total Average CF x 70% Weight=CF70%		110.2500%
b. Strategic Priority(SP): Total SP x 30%		
Weight=SP30%		
Performance Indicator No 1	145%	150.0000%
Performance Indicator No 2	143%	145.0000%
Total Average SP		147.5000%
Total Average SP x 30% Weight=SP30%		44.2500%
Total (SUM of CF70% +, SP30%)		154.5000%
PBB EQUIVALENT POINTS>>>		90 PTS.

TABLE 3.3 Weight computation sample for PI/MFO with Core Function and Support Functions only

Performance Indicator/Major Final Output Weight: With No Strategic Priority	FY 2016 TARGET VS. ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT*	EQUIVALENT PERCENTAGE POINTS
a. Core Functions(CF): Total Average CF x 95% Weight=CF95%		
Performance Indicator No 1	176%	180.0000%
Performance Indicator No 2	172%	175.0000%
Performance Indicator No 3	166%	170.0000%
Performance Indicator No 4	161%	165.0000%
Performance Indicator No 5	155%	160.0000%
Performance Indicator No 6	151%	155.0000%
Performance Indicator No 7	145%	150.0000%
Performance Indicator No 8	143%	145.0000%
Performance Indicator No 9	135%	140.0000%
Performance Indicator No 10	131%	135.0000%
Total Average CF		157.5000%
Total Average CF x 95% Weight=CF95%		149.6250%
c. Support Functions (SF)		
Performance Indicator No 1	1.6125	165.0000%
Performance Indicator No 2	1.5525	160.0000%
Total Average SF		162.5000%
Total SF x 5% Weight=SF5%		8.1250%
Total (SUM of CF70% +, SP30%)		157.7500%
PBB EQUIVALENT POINTS>>>		90 PTS.

TABLE 3.4 Weight computation sample for PI/MFO with Core Function only

Performance Indicator/Major Final Output Weight:	FY 2016 TARGET VS. ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT*	EQUIVALENT PERCENTAGE POINTS
a. Core Functions(CF)		
Performance Indicator No 1	176%	180.0000%
Performance Indicator No 2	172%	175.0000%
Performance Indicator No 3	166%	170.0000%
Performance Indicator No 4	161%	165.0000%
Performance Indicator No 5	155%	160.0000%
Performance Indicator No 6	151%	155.0000%
Performance Indicator No 7	145%	150.0000%
Performance Indicator No 8	143%	145.0000%
Performance Indicator No 9	135%	140.0000%
Performance Indicator No 10	131%	135.0000%
Total Average CF x 100% Weight=CF100%		157.5000%
Total Average		157.5000%

A.2. The PI/MFO Equivalent Percentage points

TABLE 4: Equivalent Percentage Points for Timeliness & Quantity Performance Measure

Equivalent Perc	entage Points for Tin Performance Meas	_
RA	NGE	Equivalent
FROM	то	Percentage Points
195.01%	Above 200%	200.000%
190.01%	195.00%	195.000%
185.01%	190.00%	190.000%
180.01%	185.00%	185.000%
175.01%	180.00%	180.000%
170.01%	175.00%	175.000%
165.01%	170.00%	170.000%
160.01%	165.00%	165.000%
155.01%	160.00%	160.000%
150.01%	155.00%	155.000%
145.01%	150.00%	150.000%
140.01%	145.00%	145.000%
135.01%	140.00%	140.000%
130.01%	135.00%	135.000%
125.01%	130.00%	130.000%
120.01%	125.00%	125.000%

115.01%	120.00%	120.000%
110.01%	115.00%	115.000%
105.01%	110.00%	110.000%
100.01%	105.00%	105.00%
100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

TABLE 4.1: Sample computation for <u>PI/MFO</u> with Timeliness & Quantity Performance Measure:

MFO/PI (1)	Performance Measure (2)	Target (7)	Actual (10)	TARGET VS. ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT (11a)	EQUIVALENT PERCENTAGE POINTS (11b)
Submission of Quarterly Report to the Delivery Unit Head copy furnish PMG Secretariat	TIMELINESS	Submitted by 10 th working day of the ff quarter	Submitted of an average by the 4th day of the ff quarter		160%
Revenue	QUANTITY	100,000	117,500	117.50%	120%

TABLE 5: Equivalent Percentage Points for Efficiency/Effectiveness and Quality Performance Measures

Equivalent Percentage Points for Efficiency/Effectiveness &						
Qua	Quality Performance Measure					
RAN	IGE	Equivalent				
FROM	ТО	Percentage Points				
99.20%	100.00%	200.00%				
98.28%	99.19%	190.00%				
97.36%	98.27%	180.00%				
96.44%	97.35%	170.00%				
95.52%	96.43%	160.00%				
94.61%	95.51%	150.00%				
93.69%	94.60%	140.00%				
92.77%	93.68%	130.00%				
91.85%	92.76%	120.00%				
90.93%	91.84%	110.00%				
90.01%	90.92%	105.00%				
	90.00%	100%				
Passing	Rate 100% of the Se	t Target				

90% are the minimum percentage target for all PIs with performance measure of Efficiency and Quality, except for the Customer Feedback with the target of "At least 30% of clients rated the Department services & the BUR"

TABLE 5.1: Sample computation for <u>PI/MFO</u> with Efficiency Performance Measure:

MFO/PI	Performance	Target	Actual	EQUIVALENT PERCENTAGE
(1)	Measure (2)	(7)	(10)	POINTS (11b)
ATTENDED 90% of			99.0384%	
Accounts of Evaluation	Efficiency	90%	Or 103/104 AEC	190%
Committee Meeting			Meeting	
			attended	

A.3. The Budget Utilization Rate (BUR) Equivalent Percentage points

TABLE 6.1: Equivalent Percentage Points for BUR-Obligation Rate

	Obligation Rate					
RANG	GE	Equivalent Percentage				
FROM	то	Points				
99.25%	100.00%	200.00%				
98.38%	99.24%	190.00%				
97.50%	98.37%	180.00%				
96.63%	97.49%	170.00%				
95.76%	96.62%	160.00%				
94.89%	95.75%	150.00%				
94.02%	94.88%	140.00%				
93.15%	94.01%	130.00%				
92.27%	93.14%	120.00%				
91.40%	92.26%	110.00%				
90.53%	91.39%	105.00%				
	90.52%	100%				
Passing Rate 100% of the	Agency Target>	90.52%				

TABLE 6.2: Equivalent Percentage Points for BUR-Obligation Rate

	Disbursement Rate	
RAN	GE	Equivalent Percentage
FROM	ТО	Points
99.97%	100.00%	200.00%
99.85%	99.96%	190.00%
99.73%	99.84%	180.00%
99.61%	99.72%	170.00%
99.49%	99.60%	160.00%
99.37%	99.48%	150.00%
99.25%	99.36%	140.00%
99.13%	99.24%	130.00%
99.01%	99.12%	120.00%
98.89%	99.00%	110.00%
98.77%	98.88%	105.00%
	98.76%	100%
Passing Rate 100% of the	Agency Target>	98.76%

TABLE 6.2: Sample computation for BUR Obligation & Disbursement:

MFO/PI (1)	Performance Measure(2)	Target (7)	Actual (10)	EQUIVALENT PERCENTAGE POINTS (11b)
Budget Utilization Rate - Obligation	EFFICIENCY	90.52%	96%	160%
Budget Utilization Rate - Disbursement	EFFICIENCY	98.75%	99%	110%

B. <u>1. Attendance at Work (5Pts)</u>

Total actual working days of employee present at work under the DU/Dept. LESS Total number of AWOL incurred by all employees under the DU/Dep (Contract of Service Employees are not included) divided by Total Man-days multiply by the number of employee under the DU/Dept. Official leave of absence shall not be deducted from the attendance record. Human Resource Mgmt. Dept. shall provide a certified attendance record to each department for verification.

TABLE 7: Delivery Unit/Department Sample computation of attendance at work:

GIVEN: Attendance Man-days: (22 DAYS X12 MONTHS) Number of Employees:10 (6 Old Plantilla Employees 4 Newly Hired Employees on July 1, 2016) Total number of AWOL incurred by all employees under the DU/Dept= 1								
Total actual working days of employee present at work under the DU/Dept. LESS Total number of AWOL incurred by all employees under the DU/Dept	= ATTEN DANCE%	(22DAYS X 12 MONTHS x 6 employees) + (22DAYS X 6 MONTHS x 4 employees) LESS (1 day AWOL)	(1584+ 528)- 1=	2111	=	99.9527%		
Total Man-days multiply by the number of employee under the DU/Dept.		(22days X 12 mos x 6pax)+(22 days X 6mos x4pax)	(1584+ 528)=	2112	_			

SUCCESS INDICATOR	TOTAL DU/DEPT WORK ATTENDANCE	% ATTENDANCE AT WORK	PBB POINTS (5)	PBB POINTS EARNED
ACTUAL NO. OF	2111	2112/2112 or		
ATTENDANCE	2111	2112/2112 01	99.9527% X 5	4.9976
EXPECTED NO. OF	2112	99.9527%	33.3321/0 A 3	4.3370
ATTENDANCE	2112	99.9327%		

B. 2. Attendance at SBMA Activities (2Pts)

Attendance at SBMA activities shall be computed by Total actual number of SBMA activities multiply by number of employees under the DU. less Total number of absences incurred by all employees at SBMA activities under the DU. (Contract of Service Employees are not included) Total number of SBMA activities multiply by number of employees under the DU. Human Resource Mgmt. Dept. shall provide a certified attendance record at SBMA Activities to each department for verification.

Delivery Unit/Department shall receive the corresponding point based on the percentage of their attended SBMA activities.

TABLE 8: SBMA Activities Attendance Table Points System for Delivery Unit/Department

% OF ATTENDANCE	Equivalent Points		
89-100%	=	2.00	pts.
76-88%	=	1.75	pts.
64-75%	=	1.50	pts.
51-63%	=	1.25	pts.
39-50%	=	1.00	pts.
26-38%	=	0.75	pts.
14-25%	=	0.50	pts.
1-13%	=	0.25	pts.

Note: HRMD shall provide a list of required Agency Activities

TABLE 8.1: Delivery Unit/Department Sample computation of attendance at SBMA activities:

Office Depo	ai tillelli	t Sample com	iputation of attenuance at 3bivi	A activities				
Number of SBMA Activities: 8								
nployees:1	.0 (6 Old	d Plantilla Em	ployees 4 Newly Hired Employe	es on July 1	, 2016)			
of absence	es incuri	red by all emp	oloyees at SBMA activities unde	er the				
			·					
number	of					,		
s multipl	y by		(8 SBMA Activities x 6					
ployees ui	nder		Employees) + (5 SBMA					
LESS T	Γotal		Activities x 4 Employees) LESS	(48+20)				
f abse	nces		(Total no. of absences	-10=	58	58/68 or		
employee	es at	ATTEN	incurred by all employees at			85.29%		
	_	DANCE%	SBMA activities)			equivalent		
			·		=	to 1.75 PBB		
r of SE	BMA	•	(8x6)+(5x4)	•		Points		
multiply	by		, , , ,					
	,			(48+20)=	68			
. ,								
3 r (BMA Activity apployees:1 of absence multiple absence with the control of the cont	ama Activities: 8 Inployees:10 (6 Old Inployees incurred Inumber of Inumber o	BMA Activities: 8 Inployees:10 (6 Old Plantilla Employees:10) Inployees incurred by all employees multiply by Inployees under Inployees at an	SMA Activities: 8 Imployees: 10 (6 Old Plantilla Employees 4 Newly Hired Employee of absences incurred by all employees at SBMA activities under number of es multiply by (8 SBMA Activities x 6 Employees) + (5 SBMA Activities x 4 Employees) LESS Total f absences (Total no. of absences I employees at es under the DANCE% SBMA activities) Tof SBMA (8x6)+(5x4) Total no. of absences incurred by all employees at SBMA activities)	SMA Activities: 8 Imployees: 10 (6 Old Plantilla Employees 4 Newly Hired Employees on July 1 of absences incurred by all employees at SBMA activities under the Inumber of the inumber of the incurred by all employees at SBMA Activities x 6 the inployees under the incurred by all employees because the incurred by all employees at the incurred by all employees at SBMA activities incurred by all employees at SBMA activities and incurred by all employees at SBMA activities are activities and incurred by all employees at SBMA activities are activities and incurred by all employees at SBMA activities are activities and incurred by all employees at SBMA activities are activities are activities and incurred by all employees at SBMA activities are activities and incurred by all employees at SBMA activities are activities are activities are activities are activities are activities are activities and activities are activities are activities are activities are activities are activities are activities and activities are activities ar	nployees:10 (6 Old Plantilla Employees 4 Newly Hired Employees on July 1, 2016) of absences incurred by all employees at SBMA activities under the number of es multiply by nployees under LESS Total f absences l employees at es under the ACTIVITIES X 4 Employees) LESS (48+20) (Total no. of absences -10= 58 incurred by all employees at sBMA activities) Tof SBMA multiply by (8x6)+(5x4)		

B.3. Attendance at Flag Raising Ceremony(FRC):

Attendance in FRC shall be computed by total expected number of FRC in a year multiply by the total number of employees under the DU less total absences in FRC of all employees under the DU divided by "Total expected number of FRC in a year multiply by the total number of employees (Contract of Service Employees are not included). HRMD shall provide a certified FRC record to each department for verification in accordance with HRMD issued memorandum.

Delivery Unit shall receive the corresponding point based on the percentage of their attended FRC.

TABLE 9: Flag Raising Ceremony (FRC) Attendance Table Points system for Delivery Unit/Department and Individual

% OF FR		Equivalen	t Points	% OF FI		Equivalen	t Points	% OF FRC ATTENDED		Equivalent Points	
85-100%	=	2.00	pts.	57-58%	=	1.30	pts.	29-30%	=	0.60	pt.
83-84%	"	1.95	pts.	55-56%	=	1.25	pts.	27-28%	"	0.55	pt.
81-82-%	"	1.90	pts.	53-54%	=	1.20	pts.	25-26%	"	0.50	pt.
79-80%	ı	1.85	pts.	51-52%	"	1.15	pts.	23-24%	ıı	0.45	pt.
77-88%	II	1.80	pts.	49-50%	"	1.10	pts.	21-22%	II	0.40	pt.
75-76%	II	1.75	pts.	47-48%	"	1.05	pts.	19-20%	II	0.35	pt.
73-74%	II	1.70	pts.	45-46%	"	1.00	pt.	17-18%	II	0.30	pt.
71-72%	ı	1.65	pts.	43-44%	"	0.95	pt.	15-16%	ı	0.25	pt.
69-70%	ı	1.60	pts.	41-42%	"	0.90	pt.	13-14%	ı	0.20	pt.
67-68%	ı	1.55	pts.	39-40%	"	0.85	pt.	11-12%	ı	0.15	pt.
65-66%	ı	1.50	pts.	37-38%	"	0.80	pt.	9-10%	ı	0.10	pt.
63-64%	II	1.45	pts.	35-36%	"	0.75	pt.	1-8%	ıı	0.05	pt.
61-62%	II	1.40	pts.	33-34%	"	0.70	pt.				
59-60%	"	1.35	pts.	31-32%	"	0.65	pt.				

TABLE 9.1: Sample computation of attendance at FRC:

nployees) LESS 70 Total Absences in FRC of all employees under the DU/Dept.	=	346	=	83.17% equivalent
(52 FRC x 6 employees) + (26 x 4				
	•	Hired Emplo	yees on	July 1, 2016
•	incurred 70 absences in FRC	expected no. of FRC in a year), 10 Employees incurred 70 absences in FRC	expected no. of FRC in a year), 10 Employees incurred 70 absences in FRC	expected no. of FRC in a year), 10 Employees incurred 70 absences in FRC

B.4. Attendance at Attendance at Flag Lowering Ceremony (FLC)

Attendance in FLC shall be computed by total expected number of FLC in a year multiply by the total number of employees under the DU less total absences in FLC of all employees under the DU divided by "Total expected number of FLC in a year multiply by the number of Employees" (Contract of Service Employees are not included). Official leave of absence that falls on the day with FLC shall not be deducted from the FLC attendance record). HRMD shall provide a certified FLC record to each department for verification in accordance with HRMD issued memorandum.

Delivery Unit shall receive the corresponding point based on the percentage of their attended FLC.

TABLE 10: Flag Lowering Ceremony (FLC) Attendance Table Points system for Delivery Unit/Department and Individual

<u> </u>			T			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 					
% OF FL	% OF FLC Equivalent Points		t Doints	% OF FI	LC Equivalent Points		% OF FLC		Equivalent		
ATTENDE	ED	Equivalen	t Pollits	ATTEND	ED	Equivalei	it Pollits	ATTEND	ED	Points	
85-100%	II	1.000	pt.	57-58%	"	0.650	pt.	29-30%	=	0.300	pt.
83-84%	II	0.975	pt.	55-56%	"	0.625	pt.	27-28%	=	0.275	pt.
81-82-%	II	0.950	pt.	53-54%	"	0.600	pt.	25-26%	=	0.250	pt.
79-80%	II	0.925	pt.	51-52%	"	0.575	pt.	23-24%	=	0.225	pt.
77-88%	II	0.900	pt.	49-50%	"	0.550	pt.	21-22%	=	0.200	pt.
75-76%	II	0.875	pt.	47-48%	"	0.525	pt.	19-20%	=	0.175	pt.
73-74%	II	0.850	pt.	45-46%	"	0.500	pt.	17-18%	=	0.150	pt.
71-72%	II	0.825	pt.	43-44%	"	0.475	pt.	15-16%	=	0.125	pt.
69-70%	II	0.800	pt.	41-42%	"	0.450	pt.	13-14%	=	0.100	pt.
67-68%	II	0.775	pt.	39-40%	"	0.425	pt.	11-12%	=	0.075	pt.
65-66%	ı	0.750	pt.	37-38%	"	0.400	pt.	9-10%		0.050	pt.
63-64%	II	0.725	pt.	35-36%	"	0.375	pt.	1-8%	=	0.025	pt.
61-62%	II	0.700	pt.	33-34%	"	0.350	pt.				
59-60%	II	0.675	pt.	31-32%	"	0.325	pt.				

TABLE 10.1: Sample computation of attendance at FLC:

Total expected number of FLC a year x DUs No. of Employees	n	(52 FLC x 6 employees) + (26 FLC x 4 employees)		416		to 1 PBB pts			
Total expected number of FLC i a year x Dus/Dept Total No. o Employees)— Total Absences ir FLC of all employees under the DU	f	(52 FLC x 6 employees) + (26 x 4 employees) LESS 40 Total Absences in FLC of all employees under the DU/Dept.	=	376	=	90.38% equivalent			
GIVEN: 5	2 Weeks(Total e U/Dept. Employe	ERING CEREMONY (FLC) COMPUTATION: eks(Total expected no. of FLC in a year), 10 Employees ept. Employees incurred 40 absences in FLC er of Employees:10 (6 Old Plantilla Employees 4 Newly Hired Employees on July 1, 2016)							

TABLE 10.2: DU/Dept sample computation of Attendance at Work, SBMA Activities, FRC &FLC:

				PBB POINTS CONVERSION				
ATTENDANCE AT WORK :		99.95% X 5PT	S =	4.9975				
ATTENDANCE AT SBMA ACTIVITIES:		85.29%	=	1.75				
ATTENDANCE AT FRC :	346/416	83.17%	=	1.9500				
ATTENDANCE AT FLC :	376/416	90.38%	=	1.0000				
TOTAL POINTS ATTENDANCE AT W	ORK, SBMA	ACTIVITIES, FRC & F	LC	9.6975				
*See SBMA ACTIVITIES, FRC& FLC ATTENDANCE TABLE POINTS SYSTEM								

- 7.4 The PMG shall assess the performance of the different Delivery Units using the Delivery Unit Performance Commitment and Review Forms (See Annex 3-PDO-DUPCR-03) and prepare an endorsement of its rating to the Head of Agency for final approval. The Head of Agency shall therein affix his/her signature in the summary page to serve as basis for processing the Performance-Based Bonus (PBB) to be distributed to eligible departments/offices and units.
- 7.5 Rounding of ranking the Delivery Units shall be to the highest whole number (0.5 up should be rounded to 1).
- 7.6 The PMG has developed an objective tie-breaking criteria (please refer to item 10.0) for Delivery Units and individuals. In case of tie unresolved among Delivery Units, the PMG shall elevate it to the Chairman and Administrator whose decision shall be enforced as final.

8.0 ELIGIBILITYOF INDIVIDUALS

8.1 The eligibility of Chairpersons/Heads of GOCCs covered by DBM will depend on the eligibility and performance of the respective agency. Their PBB rate shall be based on the monthly basic salary as of December 31, 2016 as follows:

TABLE 11: PBB Rates of Heads of the Agency

Performance Category	PBB as % of Monthly Basic Salary
Agency achieved all Good Governance Conditions(GGCs), and its physical targets in <i>all</i> MFOs STO and GASS Indicators.	65%
Agency achieved all GGCs, and has deficiency/ies in <i>some</i> of its physical target/s due to <i>uncontrollable</i> reasons	57.5%
Agency achieved all GGCs, and has deficiency/ies in one of its physical target/s due to controllable reasons	50%
Note: Heads of agencies shall not be included in the ranking and rone	rting of dolivory

Note: Heads of agencies shall not be included in the ranking and reporting of delivery units but will be provided a separate line under Form 1.0

- 8.2 Non-ex officio Board Members of GOCCs covered by DBM may be eligible subject to a fixed PBB rate of Php 40,130 subject to the following conditions:
 - a. The GOCC has qualified for the grant of the FY 2016PBB.

- b. The Board Member has 90% attendance to duly called board meetings and committee meetings as certified by the Board Secretary;
 - b. The Board Member has nine (9) months aggregate service as Members of the Board; and
- c. The GOCC has submitted its FY 2016 of the Corporate Operating Budget (COB) to DBM within the set deadline.
- 8.3 To be eligible to the PBB, Individuals must have achieved by December 29at least 100% of each of their performance targets for the fiscal year laid in the PDO-IPCR-01 (attached herewith as Annex-1) as a template for reporting. The said form also includes additional criteria set forth by the PMG for rating. A <u>mandatory 5% increase</u> in Performance Indicator Target from previous year if Performance Measure is quantity based shall be applied.
- 8.4 There shall be no ranking for individuals, the PBB rates of individual employees shall depend on the performance ranking of the delivery unit where they belong, based on the individual's, monthly basic salary as of December 31 of the fiscal year but not lower than Php 5,000:

TABLE 12: PBB Rates of Individual Employees

Performance Category	PBB as % of Monthly Basic Salary		
Best Bureau/Office/Delivery Unit(10%)	65%		
Better Bureau/Office/Delivery Unit(25%)	57.5%		
Good Bureau/Office/Delivery Unit(65%)	50%		

- 8.5 Employees belonging to the First and Second Levels should receive a rating of at least "Satisfactory" based on the agency's CSC-approved Strategic Performance Management System (SPMS).
- 8.6 Other officials performing managerial and executive functions who are not presidential appointees are covered by the agency's CSC-approved SPMS and should receive a rating of at least "Satisfactory".
- 8.7 Personnel on detail to another government agency for six (6) months or more shall be included in the recipient agency that rated his/her performance. Payment of the PBB shall come from the mother agency.
- 8.8 Personnel who transferred from one government agency to another agency shall be rated and included by the agency where he/she served the longest. If equal months were served for each agency, he/she will be included in the recipient agency.
- 8.9 An employee who has rendered a minimum of nine (9) months of service during the fiscal year and with at least satisfactory performance rating may be eligible to the full grant of the PBB.
- 8.10 An employee who rendered a minimum of three (3) months but less than nine (9) months of service and with the required performance rating shall be eligible for the grant of PBB

on a pro-rata basis.

The PBB of employees shall be pro-rated corresponding to the actual length of service rendered, as follows:

TABLE 13: PBB Pro-rated Table

Length of Service	% of PBB
8 months but less than 9 months	90%
7 months but less than 8 months	80%
6 months but less than 7 months	70%
5 months but less than 6 months	60%
4 months but less than 5 months	50%
3 months but less than 4 months	40%

The following are the valid reasons for an employee who may not meet the nine-month actual service requirement to be considered for PBB on a pro-rata basis:

- a. Being a newly hired employee;
- b. Retirement;
- c. Resignation;
- d. Any form of leave with or without pay such as the ff:
 - d.1 Rehabilitation Leave;
 - d.2 Maternity Leave and/or Extended Paternity Leave;
 - d.3 Vacation or Sick Leave;
 - d.4 Scholarship/Study Leave;
 - d.5 Sabbatical Leave

Retired and resigned employees who met the minimum length of service must still submit their IPCR to be eligible for pro-rata computation.

- 8.11 An employee who is on vacation or study leave, sick leave, with or without pay for the entire year, is not eligible to the grant of the PBB.
- 8.12 Personnel found guilty of administrative and/or criminal cases filed against them and meted penalty in the <u>PBB Fiscal Year</u> shall not be entitled to the PBB. If the penalty meted out is only a reprimand, such penalty shall not cause the disqualification to the PBB.
- 8.13 Officials and employees who failed to submit <u>their</u> SALN as prescribed in the rules provided under CSC Memorandum Circular No. 3 (s.2015) shall not be entitled to the PBB Fiscal Year.
- 8.14 Officials and employees who failed to liquidate Cash Advances received in the <u>PBB Fiscal Year</u> within the reglementary period as required by the COA shall not be entitled to the PBB.
- 8.15 Delivery Unit/Department head should ensure that officials and employees covered by RA 6713 submitted their *current* SALN to the respective SALN repository agencies as prescribed in the rules provided under CSC Memorandum Circular No. 3 (s.2015) and also liquidated PBB Fiscal Year Cash Advances, as this will be a basis for the release of *PBB* to individuals.

8.16 Personnel on detail to another government agency for six (6) months or more as of November 30 for every fiscal year shall be included in the list of employees in the recipient agency that rated his/her performance.

8.17 Personnel on detail or transferred by virtue of an office order or promotion to another Delivery Unit for six (6) months or more as of December 30 for every fiscal year shall be included in the list of employees in the recipient Delivery Unit that rated his/her performance.

8.18 Employees belonging to the First and Second Levels who received 'Unsatisfactory' or `Poor' ratings under the CSC guidelines, shall not be eligible to the PBB.

9.0 RATING PEFORMANCE OF INDIVIDUALS

9. Each Delivery Unit shall have their own Delivery Unit Rating Committee to implement an internal communications strategy on PBIS, for the Feedback and Change Management <u>as stated in Section</u> <u>13.0 of IATF MC 2016-01</u>. The Delivery Unit Rating Committee shall directly oversee the performance of the Departments and Individuals under them. The following are its functions:

- 1. Engage all employees in understanding the PBIS, the performance targets of their respective delivery unit, as well as the services and outputs that they will need to deliver in order to meet the set targets;
- 2. Disseminate the performance targets and accomplishments of the agency to all employees through the intranet and other means.
- 3. Adopt and undertake a communications strategy to disseminate the Delivery Unit performance targets and accomplishments to their employees through the intranet and other means;
- 4. Set up a Help Desk to respond to queries and comments on the targets and accomplishments of the agency.
- 5. Set up a complaints mechanism to respond to the PBIS-related issues and concerns raised by officials and employees.
- 6. Assess the performance of the individuals in the Delivery Unit and assist in rating them according to performance criteria;
- 7. Ensure adherence to the criteria and guidelines of the PBB.
- 8. Ensure that all employees regardless of PBB eligibility status under their DU's are listed at the Delivery Unit Consolidated Rating Summary Sheet.
- 9.2 The Delivery Unit Rating Committee shall be composed of the following:

Chairman : Delivery Unit Head

Members : Manager/Head of Office/Division Chiefs

Secretariat : DU Technical Working Group Member (as per Office Order No. 16-

06-1013 Series of 2016)

- 9.3 The Department/Office Head is responsible for the inclusion of all its employees under their direct supervision (where the employee is currently assigned or detailed) in the Department Consolidated Rating Summary Sheet(DCRSS).
- 9.4 The following are the <u>sanctions</u> for supervisor and head of office who failed to include an employee in the DCRSS under their direct supervision:
 - 9.4.1. Administrative sanction for violation of reasonable office rules and regulations and simple neglect of duty for the <u>supervisors</u> for the delay or non-submission of the Individual Performance Commitment and Review form.
 - 9.4.2. Failure on the part of the Head of the Office to comply with the required notices to their subordinates for their unsatisfactory or poor performance during the rating period shall be a ground for an administrative offense for neglect of duty.
- 9.5 The Delivery Unit Rating Committee shall collate the performance of all personnel using the Department Consolidated Individual Rating Summary Sheet (See Annex 04: PDO-DCIRSS 04). The summary results of the evaluation shall be signed by the Head of the Delivery Unit for submission to the PMG.
- 9.6 The rating of individuals shall be in accordance with the following:

TABLE 14: PBB Rating point system for Individual

PBB RATING POINT SYSTEM FOR INDIVIDUAL						
A. PERFORMANCE INDICATOR (INDIVIDUAL PI)	90	Points				
B. 1. ATTENDANCE AT WORK (5pts.)						
2. ATTENDANCE ATSBMA ACTIVITIES (2pts.)	10	Points				
3.ATTENDANCE AT FLAG RAISING CEREMONY (2pts.)						
4. ATTENDANCE AT FLAG LOWERING CEREMONY 1pt.)						
TOTAL	100	Points				

Note: The rating shall also be use as basis for the Step Increment/s based on <u>the Section 5.0 of Civil</u> <u>Service and Department of Budget and Management Joint Circular No. 1 Series of 2012 to wit:</u>

Grant of Step Increment/s Due to Meritorious Performance

The maximum number of employees that may be granted Step Increment/s Due to Meritorious Performance in any given year shall be limited to five percent (5%) of all incumbent officials and employees in an agency.

a Two (2) Step Increments due to Meritorious Performance may be granted to a qualified official or employee who has attained two (2) ratings of "Outstanding" during the two (2) rating periods within a calendar year.

b One (1) Step Increment Due to Meritorious Performance may be granted to a qualified official or employee who has attained one (1) rating or "Outstanding" and one (1) rating of "Very Satisfactory" during the two (2) rating periods within a calendar year.

Such performance ratings shall be based on the agency Performance Management System (PMS) approved by the CSC.

Step Increment/s Due to Meritorious Performance shall be granted initially effective January 1, 2015, and subsequently every January 1 of every year thereafter only for those with CSC-approved agency PMS.

Inasmuch as personnel on secondment, study privilege, scholarship, or training grant for more than three (3) months do not perform the actual duties and responsibilities of their positions in their mother agencies, such engagements shall not be considered in determining entitlement to the grant of Step Increment/s Due to Meritorious Performance.

The performance of personnel on detail to another agency for three (3) months or more shall be rated by the authorities concerned in the receiving agency. The average performance rating of the detailed personnel shall be considered in the ranking of qualified personnel in either the receiving or the mother agency where the detailee served for a longer period within a given year.

A. Performance Indicator

Employees who achieved their Performance Indicator targets shall receive the corresponding points depending on the percentage of achievement:

TABLE 15: Individual Total PIs/MFO PBB Equivalent Points

% of INDIVIDUALS Total PIs/MFO Equivalent Points Received		PBB Equivalent Points		% of INDIVIDUALS Total PIs/MFO Equivalent Points Received	PBB Equivalent Points		
100%	=	70	Points	112 - 113%	=	81	points
101%	=	71	Points	114 - 115%	=	82	Points
102%	=	72	Points	116 - 117%	=	83	Points
103%	=	73	Points	118 - 119%	=	84	Points
104%	=	74	Points	120 - 121%	=	85	Points
105%	=	75	Points	122 - 123%	=	86	Points
106%	=	76	points	124 - 125%	=	87	Points
107%	=	77	points	126 - 127%	=	88	Points
108%	=	78	points	128 - 129%	=	89	Points
109%	=	79	points	Over 130%	=	90	Points
110 - 111%	=	80	points				

Note: Percentage points of Individual PIs shall be derived from the Individual total percentage points of accomplished PIs over target of the current year.

B.1 Attendance at Work:

Attendance at Work shall be computed by the actual total number of working days of employees plus Total Actual number of SBMA activities attended, less total incurred AWOL & absences at SBMA activities divided by the total number of expected number of working days of employees for each rating period and total listed number of SBMA activities. Official leave of absence shall not be deducted from the attendance record. Human Resource Mgmt. Dept. shall provide a certified attendance record to each department for verification.

TABLE 16: Individual sample computation of Attendance at Work:

GIVEN: (22 DAYS X12 MONTHS) **EMPLOYEE INCURRED 1 DAY AWOL** Total actual working days of employee present at work LESS (22DAYS X 12 ATTEN Total incurred AWOL MONTHS) - 1 DAY 264-1= DANCE% **AWOL** 263 99.6212% Total number of expected no. of (22 X 12) 264 264 work attendances

	TOTAL NO. OF WORK ATTENDANCE	% ATTENDANCE AT WORK	PBB POINTS (5)	PBB POINTS EARNED	
ACTUAL NO. OF ATTENDANCE	263	263/264 or	00 C212 V F	4.0010	
EXPECTED NO. OF ATTENDANCE	264	99.6212%	99.6212 X 5	4.9810	

B.2 Attendance at SBMA activities:

Attendance at SBMA activities shall be computed by the actual total number of SBMA activities attended by the employees, less total incurred absences at SBMA activities divided by the total number of expected number of SBMA activities for each rating period. Human Resource Mgmt. Dept. shall provide a certified attendance record at SBMA Activities to each department for verification.

Individual shall receive the corresponding point based on the percentage of their attended SBMA activities.

TABLE 17:

SBMA ACTIVITIES ATTENDANCE TABLE POINTS SYSTEM FOR INDIVIDUAL								
% OF FRC ATTENDED	Equivalent Points							
89-100%	=	2.00	pts.					
76-88%	=	1.75	pts.					
64-75%	=	1.50	pts.					
51-63%	=	1.25	pts.					
39-50%	=	1.00	pts.					
26-38%	=	0.75	pts.					
14-25%	=	0.50	pts.					
1-13%	=	0.25	pts.					

Note: HRMD shall provide a list of required Agency Activities

TABLE 17.1: Individual sample computation of SBMA Activities:

GIVEN: NUMBER OF SBMA ACTIVITIES 8 EMPLOYEE INCURRED 2 ABSENCES AT SBMA ACTIVITIES Total Actual number of SBMA 8 SBMA 6/8 or 75% activities attended LESS Total activities equivalent incurred absences at SBMA ATTEN -2 to 1.50 activities DANCE% Absences PBB pts. 8-2=6 Total number of expected SBMA 8 activities

B.3. Attendance in Flag Raising Ceremony (FRC): Attendance in FRC shall be computed by total expected number of FRC in a year less total number of absences in FRC. Official leave of absence that falls on the day with FRC shall not be deducted from the FRC attendance record. Human Resource Mgmt. Dept. shall provide a certified attendance record of FRC to each department for verification.

An individual shall receive the corresponding points base on the number of attended FRC.

TABLE 18: FLAG RAISING CEREMONY ATTENDANCE TABLE POINTS SYSTEM FOR INDIVIDUAL

% OF FRC ATTENDED		Equiv Poi		% OF FRO		Equiv Poi		% OF FRC ATTENDED		Equivalent Points	
85-100%	=	2.00	pts.	57-58%	=	1.30	pts.	29-30%	=	0.60	pts.
83-84%	=	1.95	pts.	55-56%	=	1.25	pts.	27-28%	=	0.55	pts.
81-82-%	=	1.90	pts.	53-54%	=	1.20	pts.	25-26%	=	0.50	pts.
79-80%	=	1.85	pts.	51-52%	=	1.15	pts.	23-24%	=	0.45	pts.
77-88%	=	1.80	pts.	49-50%	=	1.10	pts.	21-22%	=	0.40	pts.
75-76%	=	1.75	pts.	47-48%	=	1.05	pts.	19-20%	11	0.35	pts.
73-74%	=	1.70	pts.	45-46%	=	1.00	pts.	17-18%	=	0.30	pts.
71-72%	=	1.65	pts.	43-44%	=	0.95	pts.	15-16%	=	0.25	pts.
69-70%	=	1.60	pts.	41-42%	=	0.90	pts.	13-14%	=	0.20	pts.
67-68%	=	1.55	pts.	39-40%	=	0.85	pts.	11-12%	=	0.15	pts.
65-66%	=	1.50	pts.	37-38%	=	0.80	pts.	9-10%	=	0.10	pts.
63-64%	=	1.45	pts.	35-36%	=	0.75	pts.	1-8%	=	0.05	pts.
61-62%	=	1.40	pts.	33-34%	=	0.70	pts.				
59-60%	=	1.35	pts.	31-32%	=	0.65	pts.				

TABLE 18.1: INDIVIDUAL SAMPLE ATTENDANCE AT FLAG RAISING CEREMONY COMPUTATION:

(22 DAYS X12 MONTHS)

GIVEN:

EMPLOYEE INCURRED 10 DAY ABSENCES AT FRC

TIES

Total expected number of FRC in a year — Total

of FRC in a year — Total No. Absences in FRC/ Total expected number of FRC in a year —

% OF FRC ATTENDANCE 52 Weeks - 10 absences/52

42/52 = or *1.9PBB

or = equivalent 81% pts

B.4. Attendance in Flag Lowering Ceremony (FLC):

Attendance in FLC shall be computed by total expected number of FLC in a year less total number of absences in FLC. Official leave of absence that falls on the day with FLC shall not be deducted from the FLC attendance record. Human Resource Mgmt. Dept. shall provide a certified attendance record of FLC to each department for verification.

An individual shall receive the corresponding points base on the percentage of attended FLC.

TABLE 19: FLAG LOWERING CEREMONY ATTENDANCE TABLE POINTS SYSTEM FOR INDIVIDUAL

% OF FLC ATTENDED		Equiva Poin		% OF FLO		Equiva Poin		% OF FLC		Equiva Poin	
85-100%	=	1.000	pts.	57-58%	=	0.650	pts.	29-30%	=	0.300	pts.
83-84%	=	0.975	pts.	55-56%	=	0.625	pts.	27-28%	=	0.275	pts.
81-82-%	=	0.950	pts.	53-54%	=	0.600	pts.	25-26%	=	0.250	pts.
79-80%	=	0.925	pts.	51-52%	=	0.575	pts.	23-24%	=	0.225	pts.
77-88%	=	0.900	pts.	49-50%	=	0.550	pts.	21-22%	=	0.200	pts.
75-76%	=	0.875	pts.	47-48%	=	0.525	pts.	19-20%	=	0.175	pts.
73-74%	=	0.850	pts.	45-46%	=	0.500	pts.	17-18%	=	0.150	pts.
71-72%	=	0.825	pts.	43-44%	=	0.475	pts.	15-16%	=	0.125	pts.
69-70%	=	0.800	pts.	41-42%	=	0.450	pts.	13-14%	=	0.100	pts.
67-68%	=	0.775	pts.	39-40%	=	0.425	pts.	11-12%	=	0.075	pts.
65-66%	=	0.750	pts.	37-38%	=	0.400	pts.	9-10%	=	0.050	pts.
63-64%	=	0.725	pts.	35-36%	=	0.375	pts.	1-8%	=	0.025	pts.
61-62%	=	0.700	pts.	33-34%	=	0.350	pts.	_			
59-60%	=	0.675	pts.	31-32%	=	0.325	pts.				·

TABLE 19.1: INDIVIDUAL SAMPLE ATTENDANCE AT FLAG RAISING CEREMONY COMPUTATION:

(22 DAYS X12 MONTHS)

GIVEN:

EMPLOYEE INCURRED 10 DAY ABSENCES AT FRC

TIES

Total expected number

of FRC in a year – Total No. Absences in FRC/

Total expected number of FRC in a year –

% OF FRC ATTENDANCE 52 Weeks - 10 absences/52

42/52

*.950 PBB

or = **equivalent**

81% pts

TABLE 20: SUMMARY OF INDIVIDUAL COMPUTATION OF ATTENDANCE AT WORK, SBMA ACTIVITIES, FRC & FLC

			PBB	POINTS	CONVERSION			
ATTENDANCE AT WORK :		99.62%	X 5PTS	=	4.98106			
ATTENDANCE AT SBMA ACTIVITIES:		81.00%		=	1.75			
ATTENDANCE AT FRC :	42/52	81%		=	1.9000			
ATTENDANCE AT FLC :	42/52	81%		=	0.9500			
TOTAL POINTS ATTENDANCE AT WORK,SBMA ACTIVITIES, FRC& FLC 9.5811								
*See SBMA ACTIVITIES, FRC& FLC ATTENDANCE TABLE POINTS SYSTEM								
AT THE GUIDELINES								

9.7 All Division Chiefs shall cause the preparation of Department/Office Consolidated Individual Rating Sheet (See Annex 04 PDO-DPCIRS 04) and shall ensure the accuracy and integrity of the accomplished forms for Department/Office Head Approval.

10.0 APPEAL OBJECTIONS AND COMPLAINTS

- 10.1 All appeal, objections, and complaints after the award of the PBB shall be brought to the attention and resolved by the Delivery Unit Rating Committee in case of individual employees or by the PMG in case of Delivery Units.
- 10.2 If the appeal objection or complaint cannot be resolved in the level of the Delivery Unit Rating Committee, the PMG shall deliberate on the matter. The decision of the PMG is final and executory.

11.0 TIE-BREAKING CRITERIA

- 11.1 In case of a tie in the forced-ranking rating among the SBMA Delivery Units. The tie breaking points shall be determined by the Head of the Agency based on the following:
- a. The Performance Indicator of the <u>DELIVERY UNITS' TOTAL MFO/PI</u> shall be the primary basis for tie breaking.

Example: Seaport Department & Airport had a tie score of 99.9962 PBB points the <u>DELIVERY UNITS'</u> <u>TOTAL MFO/PI</u> shall be the basis for tie breaking.

DELIVERY UNITS	PBB FORCED RANKING POINT SYSTEM FOR SBMA DELIVERY UNITS	TARGET VS. ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT	PBB EQUIVALENT PTS
	DELIVERY UNITS TOTAL MFO/PI	134.6957%	90
1. Seaport Department	ATTENDANCE AT WORK (5pts) & FRC (5pts) (DELIVERY UNIT'S TOTAL PERSONNEL)	9.9962	9.9962
	TOTAL		99.9962

DELIVERY UNITS	PBB FORCED RANKING POINT SYSTEM FOR SBMA DELIVERY UNITS	TARGET VS. ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT	PBB EQUIVALENT PTS
2. Airport Department	DELIVERY UNITS TOTAL MFO/PI	<u>131.2253%</u>	90
	ATTENDANCE AT WORK (5pts) & FRC (5pts)) (DELIVERY UNIT'S TOTAL PERSONNEL)	9.9962	9.9962
	TOTAL		99.9962

SEQ	DELIVERY UNITS	PBB POINTS	PRIMARY TIE BREAKING CRITERIA (DELIVERY UNIT'S TOTAL MFO/PI)	PBB RANKING
1	Seaport Department	99.9622	134.6957%	Best
2	Airport Department	99.9622	131.2253%	Better

b. The Delivery Unit's Awards, Recognitions and Other Accomplishments shall be the secondary basis for tie breaking.

	Delivery Units Recognitions and Other Accomplishments for PBB Tie Breaking Points					
1	Certificates of Recognition, Appreciation, Participation, and Commendation outside the department's or delivery unit's core function/mandate for assistance or services given	1 point				
2	SBF Chamber of Commerce Award and others alike	1 point				
3	Regional Awards	2 points				
4	National Awards	3 points				

*Note:

- a. Regional & National award of the same category will be considered as one (1) award. Points will be based on the highest award received.
- b. Only award/recognition given to a department shall be credited to the Delivery Unit.

- c. An award/recognition must be in the form of certificate, trophies, plaques or medals.
- d. Community outreach programs shall be done during non-working days and not during office hours.

SEQ	DELIVERY UNITS	PBB POINTS	PRIMARY TIE BREAKING CRITERIA(DELIVERY UNIT'S TOTAL MFO/PI)	SECONDARY TIE BREAKING CRITERIA(Number of Delivery Unit's Received Awards & Recognitions)	PBB RANKING
1	Seaport Department	99.9622	134.6957%	3	Best
2	Airport Department	99.9622	134.6957%	2	Better

12.0 SOURCE OF PBB FUND

The SBMA-PBB shall be charged against Miscellaneous Personnel Benefits Fund (MPBF).

13.0 ADOPTION OF NEW MEMORANDUM CIRCULARS ISSUED BY INTER AGENCY TASK FORCE

The SBMA shall automatically adopt forthcoming Memorandum Circulars Issued by the Inter-Agency Task Force, inconsistent with this Guideline.

14.0 EFFECTIVITY

This Guideline shall take effect immediately and amends/ supersedes all other Office Orders/ Memoranda which are inconsistent herewith.

15.0 Annexes

Annex 1: PDO-IPCR 01 -Individual Performance Commitment Review

Annex 2: PDO -DPCR 02 -Department Performance Commitment Review

Annex 3: PDO -DUPCR 03-Delivery Units Performance Commitment Review

Annex 4: PDO -DCIRSS 04 - Department Consolidated Individual Rating Summary Sheet

Annex 5: PDO -DUCIRSS 05 - Delivery Units Consolidated Individual Rating Summary Sheet

Annex 6: PDO -OPCR 06- Office Performance Commitment Review

16.0 References

INTER-AGENCY TASK FORCE MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR No. 2012-1: Guidelines on the Cascading of Department Performance Targets in Line with Executive Order (EO) No. 80

INTER-AGENCY TASK FORCE MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR No. 2012-02: Guidelines to Clarify the Good Governance Conditions for Fiscal Year 2012 in Line with the Grant of the Performance-Based Bonus under Executive Order (EO) No. 80

INTER-AGENCY TASK FORCE MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR No. 2012-02-A: Amendment to MC 2012-02 on Guidelines to Clarify the Good Governance Conditions for Fiscal Year 2012 in Line with the Grant of the Performance-Based Bonus under Executive Order (EO) No. 80

INTER-AGENCY TASK FORCE MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR No. 2012-03: Guidelines on Determining Eligibility and Ranking Bureaus, Delivery Units, and Individuals Based on Performance in Line with the Grant of the Performance-Based Bonus (PBB) in FY 2012

INTER-AGENCY TASK FORCE MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR No. 2012-04: Guidelines on the Submission of Accomplishment Reports and Requests for Release of Funds for Payment of the FY 2012 Performance-Based Bonus (PBB)

INTER-AGENCY TASK FORCE MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR No. 2012-05: Guidelines to Clarify the Eligibility and the Ranking of Personnel in Line with the Grant of Performance-Based Bonus (PBB) for FY 2012

INTER-AGENCYTASKFORCE MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR NO. 2013-01: Supplemental Guidelines on the Grant of the Performance-Based Incentives for Fiscal Year 2013 under Executive Order No. 80

INTER-AGENCY TASK FORCE MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR NO. 2014-01: Guidelines on the Grant of the Performance-Based Incentives for Fiscal Year 2014 under Executive Order No. 80

INTER-AGENCY TASK FORCE MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR NO. 2014-03: Clarification on the Provision on the Nine-Month Service Requirement

INTER-AGENCY TASK FORCE MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR NO. 2015-01: Guidelines on the Grant of the Performance-Based Incentives for Fiscal Year 2015 under Executive Order No. 80

INTER-AGENCY TASK FORCE MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR NO. 2016-01: Guidelines on the Grant of the Performance-Based Incentives for Fiscal Year 2015 under Executive Order No. 80

INTER-AGENCY TASK FORCE MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR NO. 2016-02: Guideline on the Identification and Determination of Delivery Units Relative to the Grant of the Performance Based Bonus for Fiscal Year (FY) 2016

DBM-ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE INDICATOR FRAMEWORK (OPIF) Manila April 2012: A Guide to Results-Based Budgeting in the Philippines

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT JOINT CIRCULAR NO. 1, S. 2012: Rules and Regulations on the Grant of Step Increment/s Due to Meritorious Performance and Step Increment Due to Length of Service.

